•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/676,844	ITTEL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	KimbleAnn Verdi	2194
All Participants:	Status of Application: Now Allowed	
(1) <u>KimbleAnn Verdi</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Charles L. Hamilton</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 February 2008	Time: <u>1:00 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a	•	
Claims discussed: 1-8, 10-21		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a	•	
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Applicant agreed to ammend the claims 1-8 and 10-21 as preso ammendment to be made in an Examiner's Ammendment.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	e examiner will provide a ware record of the substance of t	ritten summary of the substance he interview, since the interview
MENG-AL T. AN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100		
Myd		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)